

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.104

CRM-M-45981 of 2018
DECIDED ON: October 16, 2018

SUKHWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Bikramjeet Singh Jatana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners in FIR No.119, dated 20.09.2018, registered under Sections 341, 324, 323, 506, 148, 149 and 326 IPC (added later on) and Section 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa.

According to the prosecution, on 20.09.2018, petitioners way laid the complainant Gural Singh and caused injuries to him. Petitioner No.3 was armed with a Gandasa. Petitioner No.1 and 2 were armed with sticks. Petitioner No.3 caught hold of the complainant and petitioner No.1 along with other assailants tried to drag him inside the house of Satnam Singh.

CRM-M-45981 of 2018

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that injury falling under Section 326 IPC is not attributed to the petitioners. The same has been attributed to co-accused Satnam Singh. Though, petitioner No.3 is shown to have been armed with Gandasa, but according to the complainant, he did not cause any Gandasa injury to him. Petitioners No.1 & 2 were not armed with deadly weapon.

Considering the seriousness of the allegations against the petitioners along with their co-accused, they do not deserve any concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

October 16, 2018

Ankur

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**