

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 44983 of 2017

Date of decision: 08.02.2018

Rubalpreet Singh @ Rubal and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.2 to 7.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)**

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No. 55 dated 09.03.2017 for offence punishable under Sections 323, 160, 336, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexures P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Gurdeep Singh @ Hunny s/o Amarjit Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexures P-2.

Vide order dated 28.11.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Amritsar wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and the parties have voluntarily compromised the matter without any coercion and same has done with the free consent of the parties.

Counsel for the State and respondent Nos. 2 to 7 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners and respondents No.2 to 7 have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No. 55 dated 09.03.2017 for offence punishable under Sections 323, 160, 336, 148

and 149 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act registered in Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

February 08, 2018  
*sahil soni*

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | yes/no |
| Whether reportable        | : | yes/no |