

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-44963 of 2017
Date of decision: 19.09.2018

Satpal Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Harish Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to pay the remaining amount of ₹15 lacs within a period of two months whereas learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner has already delayed the payment as it was to be made upto 09.09.2018. Learned counsel for the complainant also submits that earlier keys of the house were given to the petitioner and renovation was done in the house. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the keys were returned back after doing the renovation.

It has been agreed by both the parties that the remaining amount of ₹15 lacs will be paid to the complainant within a period of one month and keys will be handed over to the petitioner within a period of three days from today.

Accordingly, interim order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in favour of the petitioner is made absolute and the petition is disposed of.

However, in case, any non-compliance of undertaking is there, either of the parties is at liberty to move application for recalling of the order.

19.09.2018
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No