

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44937 of 2017

Date of Decision : 10.01.2018

Shokat Ali

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted on behalf of the respondent-State that only two prosecution witnesses, both of whom are official, now remain to be examined.

The trial therefore appears to be approaching its fag end.

In the given circumstances, this Court would not incline to grant benefit of bail to the present petitioner at this stage considering the gravity of offence involved.

Dismissed.

January 10, 2018

Dpr

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No