

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4579 of 2018

Date of decision: February 23, 2018

Anil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. R.K. Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

The present second petition for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner pursuant to the order made by the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP No.9305 of 2017. One of the main ground that was raised in the Hon'ble Apex Court was that since the wife of the petitioner was released on bail, his plea for grant of anticipatory bail needs a second thought.

I have perused the earlier order made by this Court, by which the first petition for grant of anticipatory bail of the petitioner was rejected. The order records reasons for doing so. Nevertheless in accordance with the directions from the Hon'ble Apex Court, I have gone through the entire record and I find that the wife of the petitioner without his consent overt act and conspiracy along with him could not collect the huge amount of ₹35 lakhs. Petitioner used his office as working in the Military Hospital to collect the money from the people.

He does not behave of a member of Army and such an exercise could

not be lightly viewed. Hence, I am not inclined to entertain the present second petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 23, 2018

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No