

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44837-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.01.2018

Jaman Singh @ Amandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

This order shall dispose of the instant petition seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.105, dated 30.10.2017, under Sections 452/324/323/341/427/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 28.11.2017:

“Notice of motion returnable on 29.1.2018.

In the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on ad-interim anticipatory bail in FIR No. 105 dated 30.10.2017 under Sections 452/324/323/341/427/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda, on furnishing of his bail bonds amounting to ₹ 10,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. Petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required and fully cooperate with the Investigating/Arresting Officer. Petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Gurdeep Singh in relation to an alleged occurrence dated 29.10.2017. Allegations against the present petitioner are that he had given axe blows on the arms and back of the complainant.

It stands conceded that the injuries suffered by the complainant have been opined to be simple in nature.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Manjinder Singh apprises the Court that the petitioner has since joined investigation and even the weapon in question i.e. axe has since been recovered. Co-accused, Harpreet Singh has been granted concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 23.01.2018 passed by this Court in CRM-M-43949-2017.

Under such circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not warranted.

Petition is allowed. Order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

29.01.2018

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |