

102 CRM-M-45743-2018

**VISHAL BANSAL
VS
CHOLAMANDLAM INVESTMENT & FINANCE CO.
LTD.**

Present: Mr. Inderjit Singh Chawla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Through first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner – Vishal Bansal in complaint No.COMA4304 of 2015, dated 14.07.2015, under Sections 138 of NI Act (P-1).

In nutshell, petitioner had absented on 30.04.2018. Therefore, his bail was cancelled & his bail bonds and surety bonds were forfeited to the State. Consequently, he was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner had been appearing on each and every date throughout. However, on 30.04.2018, due to some medical problem, he could not appear in the trial court. The absence of the petitioner was not intentional or deliberate.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances, petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a week from today and shall be admitted to bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Disposed of.

October 16, 2018

Ankur

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**