

204
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44715-2017 (o&m)
Date of decision : 31.10.2018

Surender

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana

..... **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Report dated 4.9.2018 of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Jhajjar has been received which shows that out of 16 witnesses, 12 witnesses have been examined. Only the Medical Officer and Investigating officer are among the remaining two witnesses which are yet to be examined as one of them has been deleted due to his death and other has been given up by the prosecution.

As the trial is going to conclude, there is no ground to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

However, in order to ensure that the case is decided in time bound manner, the State is directed to produce the remaining two witnesses under its own responsibility before the trial Court on the next date of hearing. The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within next two months.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

31.10.2018

preeti