

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-45620 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 25, 2018

Roshni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.432 dated 29.06.2016, under Sections 323, 498-A, 304-B, 406, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein contends that the petitioner, who is the mother-in-law of the deceased Sharda, was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 20.01.2018. It is submitted that the deceased committed suicide and had suffered a statement that she had taken insecticide by mistake. This statement was suffered while she was in the hospital and the said statement was counter-signed by her mother. Apart from this, it is contended that the material witnesses namely the father and

mother of the deceased have been examined and there is little likelihood of the petitioner herein influencing the material witnesses. It is also submitted that son of the petitioner i.e. husband of the deceased is in custody and his regular bail has been declined. It is also contended that conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, however, does not dispute the fact that material witnesses have been examined.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 20.01.2018 and that the material witnesses have since been examined, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

October 25, 2018

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No