

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-44585 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 11, 2018

Anurag Punia and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. P.K. Hooda. Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG Haryana.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.403 dated 26.10.2016 registered under Sections 34, 354(D), 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 12 of POCSO Act at Police Station City Dadri, District Bhiwani (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Maninder Sangwan on the allegations that the accused-petitioners used to follow his daughters and also used to pass indecent comments and gestures. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their

disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Addl. Sessions Judge at Charkhi Dadri, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Reply filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2. admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has

been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.403 dated 26.10.2016 registered under Sections 34, 354(D), 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 12 of POCSO Act at Police Station City Dadri, District Bhiwani and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

January 11, 2018

vijay saini

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No