

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRM-M-45497-2018

Date of Decision: October 15, 2018

Gurnam Kaur and others

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioners is that the private respondents, are threatening them and despite representation having been made to the Police, no action is being taken thereupon.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondents No.4 and 5 are the son, daughter-in-law of petitioners No. and 1 and 2. They have been disinherited a long time back but they are continuously threatening the petitioners on account of the property. A representation dated 11.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) has been submitted before respondent No.2 and he may directed to take suitable action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. S.P. Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No.4 to 7.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation dated 11.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action thereupon, in case it is found necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

October 15, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**