

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CRM-M-45437-2018

Date of Decision: October 15, 2018

Jasmail Kaur

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Shikha Jaidka, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that she and her family is being threatened because her son has eloped with daughter of respondents No.4 and 5. She fears that respondents No.4 and 5 will cause physical harm to her. Despite representation dated 25.09.2018 (Annexure P-1), the Police has not taken any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No.4 and 5.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation dated 25.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action thereupon, in case it is found necessary, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

October 15, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**