

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 02.05.2018

1) CRM-M-4440-2015 (O&M)

M/s Hero Polyvin and another ...Petitioners
Versus
Central Excise through Assistant Commissioner. ...Respondent

2) CRM-M-23144-2017 (O&M)

M/s Kunal Calcium Limited and others ...Petitioners
Versus
Central Excise through Assistant Commissioner. ...Respondent

3) CRM-M-12389-2015 (O&M)

M/s Sumit Plastic Inds. & anotherPetitioners
Versus
Central Excise through Assistant Commissioner. ...Respondent

4) CRM-M-5479-2015 (O&M)

M/s Awon Plastics and another ...Petitioners
Versus
Central Excise through Assistant Commissioner. ...Respondent

5) CRM-M-6053-2015 (O&M)

M/s Jindal Polyvin Pipes and another ...Petitioners
Versus
Central Excise through Assistant Commissioner. ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jagmohan Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).
None for the respondent.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Mr. Bansal, learned counsel for the petitioners in all these petitions, submits that the petitions have been rendered infructuous as the petitioners have all been discharged by the trial court.

Consequently, these petitions, all being ones that seek quashing of the complaint in question, are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Obviously, if the order of discharge is challenged by the respondent, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail their appropriate remedy, as available to them under law.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

02.05.2018

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no