

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44456-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-29.10.2018.

Rupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Jasdev Singh Mehndiratta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate and
Ms. Varsha Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.7.

Ms. Kiranjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for
respondent Nos.8, 9, 12 to 15.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for the following
relief:-

“Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for directing respondent No.1 to transfer the investigation in FIR No.214 dated 14.10.2017 registered under Sections 120-B, 148, 149, 302 and 324 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered against respondents No.8 to 15 to respondent No.7 (CBI) or to any other independent agency;”

constituted during pendency of the present petition on 23.10.2017 and report has been prepared on 18.09.2018. Thus, petitioner's grievance in the present petition has been complied. Therefore, present petition do not survive for consideration. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner is disputing relating to constitution of SIT and consequential proceedings. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the constitution of SIT and further proceedings, in that event, he has to make necessary grievance by filing a separate petition.

3.) Accordingly, petition stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the constitution of SIT and further proceedings, in accordance with law.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

October 29, 2018.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.