

CRM-M-45312-2018 and other connected petitions

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 01.12.2018

1. CRM-M-45312-2018 (O & M)

Parkash Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-45340-2018 (O & M)

Parmanand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

3. CRM-M-47658-2018 (O & M)

Ex Sarpanch Joginder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

4. CRM-M-49183-2018 (O & M)

Amritpal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CRM-M-45312-2018 and other connected petitions

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioners in all the petitions.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-36595-2018 in CRM-M-45312-2018
CRM-36601-2018 in CRM-M-45340-2018
CRM-38284-2018 in CRM-M-47658-2018 and
CRM-39429-2018 in CRM-M-49183-2018

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-38271-2018 in CRM-M-45312-2018

Allowed as prayed for. Annexures P-4 and P-5 (colly.) are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-38270-2018 in CRM-M-45340-2018

Allowed as prayed for. Annexures P-4 and P-5 (colly.) are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Cases:

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-45312-2018, filed by petitioner-Parkash Ram, CRM-M-45340-2018, filed by petitioner-Parmanand, CRM-M-47658-2018, filed by petitioner-Ex Sarpanch Joginder Singh and CRM-M-49183-2018, filed by petitioner-Amritpal Singh, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.131 dated 19.09.2018, under Sections 395, 353, 186, 188, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 134/135 of the Representation of People Act, 1957 and Section 3 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984, registered at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda.

CRM-M-45312-2018 and other connected petitions

Notice of motion was issued in all these petitions. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 26.10.2018 passed in CRM-M-45312-2018 and CRM-M-45340-2018, dated 29.10.2018 passed in CRM-M-47658-2018 and dated 02.11.2018 passed in CRM-M-49183-2018 by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from them. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in all these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 26.10.2018 passed in CRM-M-45312-2018 and CRM-M-45340-2018, dated 29.10.2018 passed in CRM-M-47658-2018 and dated 02.11.2018 passed in CRM-M-49183, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

01.12.2018

parveen kumar

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

: Yes

: No