

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44345 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.05.2018

Om Parkash and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Davinder Singh Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

H.S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Petitioners – Om Parkash and others have brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 67 dated 26.05.2017, for offences under Sections 324, 341, 323, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Guruhar Sahai, District Ferozepur, against them, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between them and complainant Varinder Kumar- arrayed as respondent No.2.

When the petition came up for hearing on 22.11.2017, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. The respondent No. 1 - State of Punjab through State counsel had put in appearance, whereas on behalf of respondent No.2 none had put in appearance. Then in light of the

CRM-M No.44345 of 2017 (O&M)

contention that parties have since effected compromise, the parties were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Reports from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Guruharsahai and subsequently from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Guruharsahai, have been received in terms of which complainant Varinder Kumar and accused, namely, Om Parkash, Jagdish Lal, Harpreet Singh, Ashok Kumar, Kashmir Lal, Sandeep Kumar and Rakesh Kumar, had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. Further complainant has stated that he has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. Alongwith the report statement of the complainant and all the accused, in original, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

CRM-M No.44345 of 2017 (O&M)

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “finest hour of justice”.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid FIR alongwith ancillary proceedings are hereby quashed.

[H.S MADAAN]
JUDGE

May 08, 2018
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No