

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44311-2017
Date of Decision: 09.03.2018**

Bhoopram @ Bhoop Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

This is an application for regular bail.

The petitioner was arrested on 14.10.2016. It has been submitted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that his client has been implicated only on the basis of “last seen together”. It is further emphasized that identity of the persons who had allegedly seen the deceased for the last time with the petitioner has not been revealed, even by the complainant in his deposition before the Ld. Trial Court.

There is some substance in this argument, but other available material cannot be discarded at this stage as it could amount to pre-judging the outcome of the trial.

In the given circumstances considering the detention undergone by the petitioner so far and the fact that 16 out of the 25 witnesses have already been examined, while rejecting the petitioner's prayer for bail at this

stage, the Ld. Trial Court is directed to complete the trial as expeditiously as possible and preferably on a day to day basis as far as practicable after 19.05.2018, which is stated to be the date for further prosecution evidence already fixed.

The Ld. Trial Court shall also endeavour to complete the trial preferably before 30.08.2018.

Disposed off.

09.03.2018

Divyanshi

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No