

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.44192 of 2017
Date of Decision: 28.02.2018**

Samily Sharma and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Jasraj Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No.29, dated 11.04.2016, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City, District Hoshiarpur, (**Annexure P-1**), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainants have arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (**Annexure P-2**), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hoshiarpur, vide report dated 12.01.2018, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at

between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hoshiarpur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainants have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[4]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.29, dated 11.04.2016, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City, District Hoshiarpur, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

28.02.2018
Divyanshi

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No