

CRM-M-45106-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45106-2018

Date of Decision: 15.10.2018

Arjun Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunny Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.480 dated 13.07.2015, registered at Police Station City Hansi, District Hisar, under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the first petition for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner has been dismissed by this Court vide order dated 05.07.2017. As per the prosecution version, the allegation against the present petitioner is that he assured the complainant that he will get the daughter of complainant admitted in the medical college and demanded ₹30

CRM-M-45106-2018

lakhs. There is also allegation that complainant was cheated by the accused for ₹28 lakhs and some of the amount has been deposited even in the account of the accused. In the order dated 05.07.2017, it has been specifically held that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

Now, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a cheque was given to the complainant which was dishonoured and a complaint was filed qua the same. In that complaint, the amount has been paid and the complaint has been withdrawn. However, learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to show any document on record to this Court regarding compromise in this FIR also.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

15.10.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No