

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44071 of 2017

Date of decision: February 02, 2018

Rakesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Ms. Gaganjot Kaur, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sullar, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. Pardeep Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is a petition for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to accused person, namely respondent No.2 herein. FIR No.39 dated 26.02.2015, under Sections 452, 427, 447, 506, 380, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Gate Hakima, Amritsar City was lodged by the petitioner making grievance that the accused person had broke open the backside of the shop of the petitioner by breaking wall 3x3 ft. wall which is established from the CCTV footage and they entered the shop and broken the items in the shop. Thus, the petitioner was harassed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.2 is an influential person and has also influenced the nearby shopowners.

Learned State counsel made a statement on the last date that the police made enquiry, but did not find any substance in the application/ complaint made by the petitioner.

In my opinion, there is no need to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2. However, looking to the grievance of the petitioner that respondent No.2 is an influential person, Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar shall take care of the situation and redress the grievance of the petitioner as the petitioner is stating that respondent No.2 is an influential person and is harassing him. Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar is accordingly, directed to comply with.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 02, 2018

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No