

208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44991-2018

Date of decision-20.11.2018

Partap Randhawa @ Karanvir @ Sabi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana assisted by
ASI Rajbir Singh, Police Station Civil Line, Karnal.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner-Partap Randhawa @ Karanvir @ Sabi has prayed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.295 dated 13.04.2013 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B, 467, 468, 471 and 201 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, District Karnal.

The petitioner is in custody since his arrest i.e.23.06.2018.

Complainant-Ram Swarup lodged this FIR on the allegations that he had agreed to purchase the property bearing House No.1317, Sector 17, Urban Estate, Karnal through an agreement to sell dated 20.11.2012 allegedly executed by petitioner for a total sale price of ₹1,55,00,000/-. It is alleged that a sum of ₹23,50,000/- was paid to accused Nos.1 and 2 i.e. petitioner and Jagdish Mehta (actual owner of the house). The complainant further stated that Jagdish Mehta had executed an agreement dated 01.08.2012 in favour of petitioner and on the strength of the same the

property was being proposed to be transferred in favour of complainant. It is alleged that the date of registration of sale deed was fixed as 28.02.2013 and after dilly dallying the matter, nothing was done and in this way the complainant stood cheated.

Learned counsel for the State submitted that after investigation, the challan has been filed in the Court and the trial has commenced.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the alleged agreement as relied by the complainant does not contain his signatures as per the report of FSL. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the State who is instructed by ASI Rajbir Singh.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file carefully.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and offences are triable by Magistrate, therefore, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. Without commenting anything upon merits of the case and considering the custody and status of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner, namely, Partap Randhawa @ Karanvir @ Sabi is admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

20.11.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No