IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.208-3

CRM-M-44869-2018
Date of decision : 28.11.2018

Voneat Sethi/Vineet Sethi and another ..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

*****

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.493 dated 3.9.2018, registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, Gurugram, under Section 174-A IPC.

Learned State counsel submits that pursuant to order dated 10.10.2018, the petitioners have joined investigation and are cooperating therewith.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2, however, submits that keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, the petitioners do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view nature of the offence, custodial interrogation of the accused person is not necessary. Thus, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 10.10.2018, is

Ramandeep Singh
2018.11.30 17:07
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

made absolute subject to compliance of conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 by them.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

28.11.2018
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes/ No

Ramandeep Singh
2018.11.30 17:07
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document