

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRM-M-44628-2018

Date of Decision: October 08, 2018

Neha and another

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Sandeep Thakur, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 10.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken in the post-lunch session. Report of the Mediator has been received. According to the said report, the petitioners have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. Petitioner No.1 is very categorical that she wants to continue her matrimonial alliance with petitioner No.2 and that there is a threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners. As per the documents on record, both the petitioners are of marriageable age. Respondents No.4 to 10 have no business to interfere in their matrimonial life.

In view of above, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to ensure that life and liberty of the petitioners be protected by providing them adequate protection after carrying out a threat assessment and as and when deemed appropriate. The representation (Annexure P-7) already made as well as the facts mentioned in this petition shall be kept in mind by him.

Disposed of accordingly.

October 08, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No