

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-43631 of 2017

Date of decision: February 19, 2018

Naushad

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Learned State counsel has pointed out that there are other cases against the petitioner under section 376-D IPC and POCSO Act as well as 307 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that he does not press this bail petition at this stage.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No