

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 44495 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION :- July 24, 2018

Mandeep Singh Gujral

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter has been compromised between the parties but complainant Gurpreet Kaur has since left abroad and is not coming forward to make statement in that regard. Even in petition under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act, her mother had appeared as her attorney and made statement in the Court and then the petition had been allowed.

Quashing of F.I.R. has been sought. Since the complainant has not appeared and made statement in the Court conceding the fact of compromise between the parties, the present petition is disposed of directing respondent no. 1 to explore the possibility of filing cancellation report in the matter if it comes out that matter has in fact been compromised between the parties. The exercise be completed within two month from today.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

July 24, 2018

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No