

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.104

CRM-M-44526-2018

Date of decision : 08.10.2018

Dinesh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.594 dated 31.12.2017, registered at Police Station City Kaithal, District Kaithal, under Sections 406, 420, 506 IPC.

According to the FIR, money was paid to the petitioner for getting the son of the complainant employed in Government service. Despite payment of money, the son of the complainant was not provided any employment nor the money paid was returned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to a settlement, a sum of Rs.1 lac was paid to the complainant by the guarantor and receipt of the said payment is evidenced by Annexure P2. Thus, it is a pure money dispute between co-villagers and nothing more.

Payment of a sum of Rs.1 lac is admitted in the FIR. The allegation is that about Rs.3.5 lacs was paid by the complainant out of which a sum of Rs.1 lac was returned by the guarantor. This fact is corroborative of the allegations made in the FIR and the dispute cannot be said to be a pure money dispute.

Thus, there is no merit in the petition and the same is accordingly, dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

08.10.2018

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No