

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43578-2017

Date of decision : 06.09.2018

Hitesh Mehta

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Arun Kumar, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate
for the complainant/respondent No.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that an affidavit had to be given by them so that the vehicle could be transferred in the name of the complainant and the affidavit has been handed over to their own counsel, representing them before the Court below, who will supply the same to the other side before the trial Court on the next date of hearing.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 16.11.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

06.09.2018

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No