

287

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CRM-M No.43469 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 01.05.2018

\*\*\*\*

Chanchal Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Bhavesh Aggarwal, Advocate, for  
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior D.A.,G., Punjab,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2/complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)**

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R. No.221, dated 07.10.2011, under Sections 325, 323 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-4) which is duly signed by him. The matter was

referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, vide report dated 22.12.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel, who does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In terms of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.221, dated 07.10.2011, under Sections 325, 323 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

01.05.2018

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No