

CRM-M-44407-2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 20.11.2018

Bhupinder Singh and another

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Bhupinder Singh, Advocate,
for petitioners.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Both petitioners were alleged to have been running a company in the name and style of Gurparshad Marketing Company alongwith some other family members. They collected certain money from people saying that on their depositing money with company, it will multiply their money many times in two years. Accused have allegedly embezzled said money.

After hearing the learned counsel for petitioner, I am of the view that Sessions Court was justified in dismissing anticipatory bail applications, moved by petitioners. It is a cheating case in which a large number of people, numbering about 2000 were cheated. The matter needs to be thoroughly investigated, for which free hand is to be given to police. There is no ground to grant anticipatory bail to petitioners. Hence, petition for grant of anticipatory bail is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.11.2018

sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No