

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 43440 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 6.3.2018

...

Iqbaldeen @ Iqbal Mohammad @ Pala

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Iqbaldeen @ Iqbal Mohd. @ Pala has brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 42 dated 10.4.2017, for offences under Sections 307, 427, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Kiratpur Sahib, District Rupnagar, against him, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between him and complainant Jaswant Singh- arrayed as respondent No.2.

When the petition came up for hearing on 16.11.2017, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. The respondent No. 1 - State of Punjab through State counsel, had put in appearance. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court to get their

statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Additional Sessions Judge, Rupnagar, in terms of which complainant Iqbaldeen @ Iqbal Mohammad @ Pala and accused, namely, Jaswant Singh, alongwith affected parties, namely Suresh Kumar and Naresh Kumar, had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. Further complainant has stated that he has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. Alongwith the report statements of the complainant party and the accused, in original, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

As per the prosecution story nobody had suffered injuries in the incident though the accused had tried to run over the complainant under the JCB machine and for that reason offence under Section 307 IPC had been added.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been

held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is *“finest hour of justice”*.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C, it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid FIR alongwith ancillary proceedings are hereby quashed.

6.3.2018
chugh

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether reportable

Yes / No