

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.115

CRM-M-44366-2018
Date of decision : 08.10.2018

Darshan Pal Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that the official respondents are not taking any action upon his complaint (Annexure P6), wherein allegations have been made regarding commission of a cognizable offence by respondent Nos.4 to 8

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the private respondents are inimical to the petitioner as there is a long standing dispute existing between them. Thus, they attacked the petitioner on 27.04.2018. A complaint dated 27.04.2018 (Annexure P6) has been made in this regard, but no action has been taken thereupon by the police, till date. A copy thereof has also been sent to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to call upon respondent Nos.4 to 8, at this stage.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation (Annexure P6) and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

08.10.2018
Ramandeep Singh
Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No