

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44357-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 16, 2018

Raman Kumar Bhatia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 125 dated 22.07.2018, under Sections 306, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage between the parties took place on 25.02.2011, out of which wedlock there are three children. It is further contended that the instant FIR has been registered at the behest of the uncle of the deceased and the matter has now been compromised between the parties. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody under the said FIR since 22.07.2018 and the matter has been investigated and the challan has been presented. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in

the present case. It is also contended that the matter has been investigated and that the challan has been presented and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, oppose the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature, however, he does not dispute the fact that the matter has been investigated and that the challan has been presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 22.07.2018 and that challan has been presented and the matter has been compromised between the parties, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

October 16, 2018

seema

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No