

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4423-2016

Date of Decision: 29.05.2018

V.S. Balakrishnan

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ranbir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

None for respondent no. 2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the compromise earlier stated to have been reached with respondent no. 2, i.e. the complainant in the FIR, having fallen through, the petitioner does not wish to pursue this petition but seeks liberty to file a fresh one, seeking quashing of the FIR in question, on merits.

Though learned counsel for respondent no. 2-complainant is not available, however, the prayer being to withdraw the petition with liberty to file another petition on the merits of the case, I do not see how respondent no. 2-complainant could have any objection at this stage, with of course him always at liberty to also contest quashing of the FIR on merits, if any such petition is filed.

Consequently, dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

May 29, 2018

nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.