

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

290

CRM-M-441-2018

Date of decision: 22.01.2018

Parveen @ Moni

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Ghangas, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner places reliance upon regular bail granted to the co-accused Ravi @ Sulad by this Court in CRM-M-42531 of 2017 titled as Ravi @ Sulad vs. State of Haryana on 17.11.2017 (Annexure P-4). He contends that the case of the petitioner is identical to that of the said co-accused. He prays that the petitioner be also granted the same benefit.

Counsel for the State has produced the custody certificate. The same is taken on record.

As per the custody certificate, the petitioner has already undergone 7 months and 25 days of actual imprisonment.

On going through the order dated 17.11.2017 passed by this Court in CRM-M-42531 of 2017, this Court finds no distinction between

the case of the petitioner as well as the co-accused.

The present petition is, thus, allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

January 22, 2018

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No