

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-44088 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 23, 2018

Ravinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. J.S.Moudgill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.122 dated 26.10.2016, under Sections 420, 498-A, 406 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein contends that the petitioner was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 06.09.2018. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that investigation in the matter is complete and the challan is likely to be presented soon and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

At this stage, Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate puts in appearance on

behalf of the complainant and files the power of attorney, which is taken on record. He opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, also opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, however, does not dispute the fact that investigation in the matter is complete.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 06.09.2018 and that investigation is complete and the challan is likely to be presented soon, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

October 23, 2018

vijay saini

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No