

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.43046 of 2017

Date of Decision: 29.10.2018

Trisha Bedi

....Petitioner

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ashok K. Sharma Bhana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier the FSL report was not received and the petitioner was released on interim bail. Now the challan has been presented and out of total 11 witnesses, 2 witnesses have been examined. Learned counsel also submits that even the alleged recovery is marginally more than the commercial quantity and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars as the investigation has been completed. No other case under the NDPS Act is pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner with regard to no other case as well as presentation of challan.

In view of the above, the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner on 20.11.2017 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

29.10.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No