

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.43993 of 2018
Decided on: 11.12.2018**

Gurdeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0115 dated 14.09.2018, registered under Sections 342/427/148/149/506 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') and 3 of the Punjab Prevention of Damage to Public and Private Property Act, 2014 at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa.

The operative part of the order dated 05.10.2018, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, a large group of persons has gathered at the school premises of GGS Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Sahnewali and held the school staff as hostage. It is further submitted that the main accused Lakha Singh Sidhana was arrested at the spot and was released on regular bail. Learned counsel further submits

that even on an earlier occasion, when the complainant was posted as Principal in Govt. GGS Adarsh Senior Secondary School at Boha, District Mansa, a PIL by way of CWP No.1763 of 2013 was filed by inhabitants of the village levelling serious allegations against the complainant/Principal, who was respondent No.5 in the said writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that in fact, there was a silent protest by the inhabitants of the village against working of the complainant and some of the co-accused have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the Addl. Sessions Judge.

Notice of motion for 11.12.2018....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 05.10.2018, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ganga Ram, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 05.10.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

11.12.2018
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No