

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-43925-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 05, 2018

Harpreet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.222 dated 31.10.2016 under Section 498-A, 323, 34, 406 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners vehemently argues that the allegations as set out in the FIR are unsubstantiated, by relying upon the medical evidence on the record. It is also argued that as per the evidence led in proceedings under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, the statement of the complainant had been recorded which would establish that she was present at the police station on 30.10.2016 whereas the occurrence supposed to have taken place at Patiala.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, learned counsel for the

petitioners prays for quashing of the FIR.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and perused the paper book.

In view of the fact that the charges have been framed as far back as 16.08.2017 and the proceedings are underway, this Court is not inclined to interfere. The case is to be decided on its own merits based on evidence adduced.

Dismissed.

October 05, 2018
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No