

CRM-M-43892-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43892-2018(O & M)
Date of Decision: 01.12.2018**

Wahid @ Mulla

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Rosy, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

CRM-35424-2018

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-43892-2018

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.89 dated 13.02.2018, registered at Police Station Nuh, District Nuh, under Sections 395, 397 and 420 of the Indian Penal code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-43892-2018

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been got registered by one Santosh. In the FIR, it is stated that the complainant had contacted Jaipal through online contact for the purchase of an Ertiga Car. He and his friend Srinivas had come with ₹2,84,000/- to purchase a car. It is stated that on 13.02.2018, at about 2.00 P.M., when they reached at Bus Stand Nuh, then two boys came there for picking up them and they took them in a Swift Dzire Car. There is an allegation that the complainant and his friend were taken on pistol-point and their cash, gold chain etc. were looted.

The trial is still going on. Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of bail. Otherwise also, there is every possibility that the petitioner may tamper with the evidence, if he is released on bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

However, as the petitioner has been in custody since 17.02.2018, trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

01.12.2018
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No