

208
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/12/2018 16:36
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-43800-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 10.12.2018

Dharampal and another Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Haryana Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate,
for petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG Haryana.

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It comes out that petitioner No 2 was already granted interim anticipatory bail by a coordinate bench of this Court on 5.10.2018, whereas interim anticipatory bail to petitioner No. 1 was granted by this Court on 12.11.2018.

The learned State counsel has produced copy of MLR, opinion of doctor and x-ray report from police file, which shows that injury No. 1 was blunt. However, as per allegations, it was given with a sword. Petitioners have joined investigation and sword has been recovered.

The learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar, states that recovery has been effected. No injury has been attributed to petitioner No. 2. Petitioners are not required for further investigation by police. As such, interim anticipatory bails granted to petitioners on different dates i.e. 5.10.2018 and 12.11.2018, are made absolute. Petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.12.2018
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No