

297

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-42746-2017
Date of Decision: 19.01.2018**

Rajinder Singh @ Kala and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Satija, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in FIR No.33 dated 10.05.2014 under Sections 323, 506, 427, 379 & 149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by her. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala, vide report dated 12.01.2018, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between

the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by her counsel.

[4]. In view of the report of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.33 dated 10.05.2014 under Sections 323, 506, 427, 379 & 149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

19.01.2018

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No