

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.43599 of 2018(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 21.11.2018

Atul Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs

Union Territory, Chandigarh

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Ms. Namita Khandari, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP for UT. Chandigarh.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

[1]. CRM-M No.9241 of 2018 was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 13.03.2018. Thereafter, prayer for regular bail on behalf of the petitioner in CRM-M No.17301 of 2018 was rejected on merits vide order dated 02.05.2018 at that stage.

[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that eye-witness namely Nishu Rishan and injured witness namely Dinesh Mandal have not supported the case of the prosecution.

[3]. On the other hand, learned APP for UT Chandigarh on instructions from ASI Devinder Singh submitted that the injured witness Suraj Parkash has supported the case of the

prosecution. All the prosecution witnesses except Dr. Parminder Singh have been examined. Next date before the trial Court is fixed for 28.11.2018. He further submitted that in all probability Dr. Parminder Singh would be examined on the next date of hearing.

[4]. In view of above, I deem it appropriate to direct the trial Court to conclude the trial at the earliest. In case, Dr. Parminder Singh is not examined on the date fixed and the trial is not concluded within three months thereafter, petitioner would be entitled to file application for grant of regular bail before the trial Court.

[5]. Disposed of.

21.11.2018  
*Prince*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No