

**Sr. No.211
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-42590 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.03.2018

Suman

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

This order shall dispose of the instant petition preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner having been summoned by the trial Court to face trial in sessions case arising out of FIR No.219 dated 15.06.2014, under Section 302, 324, 307, 326, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Pleadings on record would indicate that the process of law was set in motion on the statement of Kashmir Kaur in relation to an alleged occurrence dated 14.06.2014 and in which son of the complainant, namely, Angrez Singh lost his life.

Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned she was found to be innocent during the course of investigation. An application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. having been allowed petitioner has been summoned to face trial as an additional accused. Even as per prosecution version first blows have been

attributed to the petitioner and on the person of deceased Angrez Singh. Cause of death of Angrez Singh has been opined to be stab blows and specifically attributed to Rajesh Kumar and Rakesh Kumar who had been nominated as accused in the final investigation report and were already facing trial.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 10.11.2017:-

“It is inter alia argued that the petitioner was initially found innocent during the investigation and subsequently with the aid of Section 319 Cr.P.C. has been summoned as an additional accused.

Notice of motion 20.03.2018.

Meanwhile, in the event of petitioner putting in appearance before the trial Court within fifteen days from the date of this order, she be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of Court.”

Counsel representing the petitioner makes a statement at the Bar that the petitioner has since joined trial proceedings and was admitted to interim bail upon furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds.

Petitioner having already joined trial proceedings, prayer made in the instant petition is accepted.

Order dated 10.11.2017, passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

20.03.2018

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No