

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-42578 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 10.01.2018.

Jatinder Singh @ Rana

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. SPS Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. AG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Paramjit Singh.

Mr. A.S. Salar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This is second regular bail application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No. 68 dated 22.07.2016 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323 and 506 IPC at Police Station Bakhsiwala, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. In fact, the present FIR is the result of matrimonial dispute. The offence under Section 307 IPC is not made out as all the alleged injuries were simple in nature. The petitioner is in custody since 02.09.2016. The complainant has been examined in the matter.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 02.09.2016; the statement of the complainant has been already recorded; out of 23 prosecution witnesses, only three have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the completion of trial will take a long time so, without commenting on the merits of the case, taking into consideration these fresh circumstances, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10.01.2018.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No