

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43497-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 18.12.2018

Pawan Randhawa and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Hamid Hussan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.G.S. Saini, Advocate for complainant-respondent No. 2.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 contends that entire payment has not been made to the complainant-respondent No. 2 and he does not agree for compounding the offence as the complainant-respondent No. 2 is not ready to compound the offence.

Dismissed accordingly.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

18.12.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No