

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42526-2017

Date of decision:-17.4.2018

Tanuj @ Vakil

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Munish Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

This petition for regular bail has been filed by petitioner –
Tanuj @ Vakil – an accused in FIR No.632 dated 14.6.2017, under
Sections 341, 379-B, 34 IPC as well as Section 201 IPC (added later on),
registered at Police Station City, Karnal.

Briefly stated, the facts of the case as per prosecution story
are that on 13.6.2017 at about 10:30 p.m. while the complainant Satnam
@ Golu son of Krishan Lal, resident of House No.520, Indra Colony,
Jundla Gate, Karnal was returning home on his new Scooty from Railway
Station Karnal via Sadar Bazaar and had reached near Oriental Bank in
the area of Sadar Bazaar then three boys, one of them being Tanuj @

Vakil, resident of Sadar Bazaar, Karnal (present petitioner) while two others being not known to the complainant but according to him, he could identify them, if brought before him, stopped his Scooty; petitioner Tanuj @ Vakil asked the complainant to hand over his Scooty to him; when the complainant resisted then Tanuj @ Vakil hit the complainant on head with his knife, whereas the boy accompanying him gave a blow with iron punch, which he was wearing in his hand hitting the complainant on forehead and the third boy started snatching Scooty from the complainant; the complainant locked the Scooty and ran away raising alarm, then accused Tanuj @ Vakil caught hold of him from his pocket and took out his mobile phone, whereas the boy accompanying him took out Rs.3,300/- ; the complainant run away in injured condition and got himself medically treated at Government Hospital; in the morning, the complainant along with his father went to the house of Tanuj @ Vakil but Tanuj @ Vakil threatened him that he was saved at night but would be killed on the next opportunity. The matter was reported to the police. The petitioner/accused was arrested in this case on 2.7.2017. He had filed an application for regular bail in the Court of Sessions, which was declined by Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal vide order dated 15.9.2017, as such, he has approached this Court with same request.

Notice of the petition was given to respondent – State and counsel representing the State has put in appearance.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

The petitioner is specifically named in the FIR. The

allegations against him are very grave and serious, which clearly disentitle him to the concession of regular bail. The incidents of snatching are increasing day by day with the result it is not safe for the people to commute on the roads especially at the night time. The petitioner is not only accused of snatching from the complainant but also causing injuries to him. Such type of accused cannot be relied upon and there are every chances of his absconding, tampering with the prosecution evidence, indulging in criminal activities again and trying to escape the justice delivery system, if granted bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the petition, the same stands dismissed.

17.4.2018
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No