

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.43469 of 2018
Date of Decision: 01.11.2018

Ram Pal

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to the respondents to transfer the son of the petitioner from Central Jail, Jalandhar at Kapurthala to any other Jail in the State of Punjab except Central Jail, Bathinda.

In pursuance of the notice of motion issued by this Court, reply by way of short affidavit of Surinderpal Khanna, PPS-I, Superintendent, Central Jail Kapurthala has been filed on behalf of respondent No.2 and is taken on record.

An affidavit of Manjeet Singh Kalra, Assistant Inspector General of Prisons Office of Additional Director General of Police, Prisons, Punjab, Chandigarh has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and the same is taken on record. Para No.3 thereof *inter alia* reads as under:-

“That it is respectfully submitted that the office of Superintendent Jail Sangrur, sent request vide letter No.3010 dated 3.9.2018 to transfer the son of the petitioner to any other Jail. The above said Superintendent of Jail in the above said letter mentioned that the activities of the son of the petitioner were suspicious and he had been confined in the District Jail, Sangrur for the long time. Keeping in view, the above said reasons the deponent transferred the son of the petitioner to Central Jail Jalandhar at Kapurthala vide order No.G.I/P-3/14397 dated 14.09.2018. However, keeping in view the orders dated 01.10.2018 passed by this Hon'ble Court, the son of the petitioner has been transferred back to District Jail, Sangrur vide order No.G.I/P-3/15124-126 dated 05.10.2018.”

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner is fully satisfied on account of the fact that his grievance has been redressed.

Needless to say that that respondents No.1 and 2 shall be bound by the affidavit and the assertions made therein.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be issued in the present petition.

Present petition stands disposed off.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

01.11.2018
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No