

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-43359-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 28, 2018

Simarjeet Kaur

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Petitioner-Simarjeet Kaur in person.

Ms. Munisha Gandhi, Senior Advocate with
Dr. Payel Mehta, Legal Aid Counsel
Amicus Curiae.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

A status report has been filed in Court today which is to be read in all petitions i.e., CRM-M-43359-2016, CRM-M-10502-2017, CRM-M-14826-2017 and CRM-M-17220-2017. Let a photocopy of the same be also placed in all mentioned/connected matters.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., seeking issuance of appropriate directions to respondent No.1 to 3 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner at the hands of the respondent No.4 to 10 and to take appropriate legal action against the private respondent Nos 5 to 10.

The main contention of the petitioner herein is that respondent

No.5-Rakesh Dhawan, on the pretext of marriage exploited her and made physical relationship and thereafter refused to marry her on 10.11.2016. Thereafter she filed a representation with the official respondents who took no action on the said representation. She also reported the matter to the SHO Police Station-Women Cell, Amritsar. Since there was total inaction on the part of the police on her complaint, the petitioner approached this Court by filing the instant petition.

Status report has been filed by way of an affidavit of Dr. Richa Agnihotri, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police Headquarters, Amritsar City stating that on the receipt of the complaint/representation, an FIR dated 30.11.2016 has been registered under Section 376 IPC at Police Station Women Cell, Amritsar.

It is further brought to the notice of the Court that the petitioner herein has filed a private complaint which is pending before the Sessions Court at Amritsar.

In view of the fact that an FIR has been registered, which is the prayer of the petitioner in the instant petition, no further orders are called for.

As an apprehension has been made by the petitioner that she is fearing a threat to her life and liberty.

In view of the threats apprehended by the petitioner, a specific question was posed to the petitioner whether she would like to be put in some safe house/protection home/Nari Niketan, which offer has been categorically refused by the petitioner herein.

However, while disposing of the same as infructuous, the

respondent-State is required to provide adequate protection to the petitioner (e.g. periodic checking be made by the PCR/Police at the residence of petitioner) so that no untoward harm is caused to the petitioner.

Disposed of.

November 28, 2018
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No