

258.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42423-2015

Date of decision:28.11.2018.

RAJBIR SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Satvinder Singh Sisodia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. P.S. Miglani, Advocate, for
Mr.Jashanjot Singh Uppal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.726 dated 17.10.2015 registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 406, 506, 120-B of IPC at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 27.08.2018 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 27.09.2018 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties without any fear, force, pressure, coercion, threat or inducement.

Respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Parveen Chachra has made her statement with regard to compromise before learned Magistrate on 24.09.2018 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with the accused and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.726 dated 17.10.2015 registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 406, 506, 120-B of IPC at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of compromise dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-2), however, that would be subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- to be

of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, within 15 days
from today.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

28.11.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No