

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

241

CRM-M-43328-2016

Date of Decision: October 26, 2018

Bagga Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Charan Jit Sharma, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Abhaypal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab

Ms. Arshdeep Kaur, Advocate for
respondents No.6 to 11 except No.10.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed to protect life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members as they apprehend that respondents No.4 and 5 would cause harm to them at the behest of respondents No.6 to 11. Allegedly, the petitioner is pursuing complaints against respondents No.6 to 11 for embezzlement of Panchayat fund and the said respondents are thus, threatening the petitioner and his family members through respondents No.4 and 5. Reply has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5. It is stated therein that the Acting SHO, Payal, notified the petitioner to appear before him and explain his threat perception. The petitioner appeared after delay and got his statement recorded that he did not perceive any threat at this stage. His statement is on record as Annexure R-1/2.

Thus, in view of the statement of the petitioner mentioned hereinabove, no further directions are called for. In case, he is aggrieved by the act and conduct of respondents No.6 to 11, he is free to pursue any remedy in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of.

October 26, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No